asset-building for the poor. The major anti-poverty programmes are the Integrated Rural Development Programme (IRDP), Jawahar Rozgar Yojana (JRY), Employment Assurance Scheme (EAS), Indira Awaas Yojana (IAY) and Million Wells Scheme (MWS).

In addition, the Shukla Commission, has identified backlogs in Basic Minimum Services as also gaps in infrastructure in North-Eastern States and has also assessed requirement of funds for this purpose in respect of each North-Eastern State. Although the requirement of resources assessed by Shukla Commission is large, steps have been initiated to operationalise the recommendations of Shukla Commission in a modest way and to evolve a mechanism for locating resources for this purpose. The quality of life in these States would gradually improve once the implementation of various programmes recommended under the Shukla Commission commences.

भारत के निर्यात को बढ़ाने में राज्य सरकारों की भागीदारी

1049. **श्री शमशेर सिंह सुरजेवाला:**
श्री अजीत जोगी:

क्या **प्रधान मंत्री** यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या योजना आयोग ने आगामी तीन वर्षों में देश के निर्यात को दो गुना करने के लिए राज्य सरकारों को प्रभावी ढंग से सम्मिलित करने हेतु कोई नीति तैयार की है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है; और

(ग) इस पर सरकार की क्या प्रतिक्रिया है?

योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्रीमती रत्नमाला देहरेश्वर सवनूर): (क) जी, नहीं।

(ख) और (ग) प्रश्न नहीं उठता। तथापि निर्यात को बढ़ाने हेतु राज्यों का प्रभावी योगदान, जिसमें निर्यात सामग्री के स्वतंत्र संचलन के लिए अन्तर्राज्यीय अवरोधों/महसूलों को हटाना भी शामिल है, एक नीतिगत उपाय के रूप में आवश्यक है।

Performance of the States in Mobilising Resources

1050. DR. MANMOHAN SINGH: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what has been the performance of the States in mobilising resources during the Eighth Plan; State-wise and year-wise;

(b) how does this performance compare with the original targets of resource mobilisation;

(c) what are the reasons for the shortfalls, if any; and

(d) what measures are contemplated to ensure that shortfalls do not take place in the Ninth Plan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRIMATI RATNAMALA DEHARESHWAR SAVANOOR): (a) to (c) Statement showing the State-wise performance of mobilisation of resources, as per the latest available data and its comparison with respect to the original targets is annexed (*see below*). Though the nature and extent of shortfall in resource mobilisation varies from State to State, analysis has revealed that the shortfall has been mainly in the balance from the current revenues, net contribution of State public enterprises and in the utilisation of external assistance. Thus the main reason for the shortfall is the inability of the States to raise resources to the committed level.

(d) The States have been advised to improve tax and non tax collection, reduce non-plan revenue expenditure and losses incurred by the State Enterprises and to speed up implementation of externally aided projects.

Statement

Performance of States in mobilisation of resources during the Eighth Plan

(Rs. crore at 1991-92 prices)

States	Projected Resources	Anticipated Resources
(A) Non Special Category States		
Andhra Pradesh	10500	10371
Bihar	13000	5111
Goa	761	677
Gujarat	11500	9654
Haryana	5700	3762
Karnataka	12300	11155
Kerala	5460	6052
Madhya Pradesh	11100	8891
Maharashtra	18520	20608
Orissa	10000	4944
Punjab	6570	4234
Rajashtan	11500	8636
Tamilnadu	10200	11203
Uttar Pradesh	21000	14604
West Bengal	9760	6390
TOTAL (A)	157871	126292
(B) Special Category States		
Arunachal Pradesh	1155	1422
Assam	4662	3308
Himachal Pradesh	2502	2084
Jammu & Kashmir	4000	2280
Manipur	979	809
Meghalaya	1029	791
Mizoram	763	699
Nagaland	844	608
Sikkim	550	474
Tripura	1130	932
TOTAL (B)	17614	13407
GRAND TOTAL (A+B)	175485	139699

NOTE: (1) Anticipated resources have been worked out on the basis of actuals for 1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95, pre-actuals for 1995-96 and latest estimates for 1996-97.

(2) Figures are exclusive of Area Programmes.

Power Generation and Distribution in Cooperative Sector

1055. SHRI GOVIND RAM MIRI:
SHRI PARAG CHALIHA:

Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have decided to invite cooperative sector to manage power generation and distribution in rural and semi-urban sectors;

(b) if so, what would be its modus operandi;

(c) whether any priority is being considered for North-Eastern Region/ tribal areas; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH): (a) There are rural electric cooperatives engaged in distribution of power in rural areas. There are no Co-operatives in power generation and no proposal is under consideration.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

Clearance of Pending Power Projects

1056. DR. B.B. DUTTA: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state:

(a) the number of power projects pending clearance as on 31st March, 1997;

(b) the steps taken for speedy clearance of these projects; and

(c) what has been the performance since April, 1997?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRI YOGINDER K. ALAGH): (a) As on 31st March, 1997, the Detailed Project Reports of 12 power schemes with an Installed capacity of